

B. L. S.

App. F. IX-4

1932.

File No. 169-32

Previous References

Law) -

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later References

Law 143-33-1-5

CB 161-33-2-20

PRESERVED

(28)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. *Nine*

Part B for *July 1933.*

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Mr. A. Rangaswamy Iyengar B.A./M.A. L.L.B., P.S.P. attached to the office of the D.G. of Police, as Spl. P.P. to conduct the cases arising out of the recent disturbances at Shimoga pending in the Spl. I Class Magistrate's Court, Shimoga.

8) 2

*Mr D.M. Shimoga
" D.G. of Police
" Comptroller*

No. P 127 of 6.7.33.

Sanctions.

9) 2

Mr D.M. Shimoga

No. P 130 of 6.7.33.

States that Govt desire that it is necessary that these cases must go on from day to day & be finished as then when the Spl. P.P. may be without work during the period of absence.

*In Ahmedabad
31.7.33.*

Serial
No.

Dom.

The District Magistrate, Shimoga,
no. A. 4. P. 50/32-22 dated 16th June 1932
reporting for information of Govt that he
has appointed the D.S.P. Shimoga as Spec
Public Prosecutor to conduct the prosecution
in the recent disturbance cases on the go
of the Special first class Mag^{re} Shimoga
and stating that the D.S.P. has agreed to
this arrangement.

The details of the Criminal case filed in
connection with the recent Shimoga disturbances, are
not furnished. It is learnt that several reports
have been received making certain allegations
against the D.S.P. Shimoga relating to the
recent trouble between Hindus and Muslims. These
are noted up in the Confidential Branch. Apart from
that the case being fairly important, it is for
consideration here for the D.S.P. will be able
to conduct the prosecution successfully. ^{in the case} The prosecution
may perhaps be entrusted to the Public Prosecutor.

150/20/6. N.S.K.

2) Under sec 492(2) of the Cr. Pr. code,
the D.C. magt may appoint a special P.P. only
~~only~~ in cases in which the services of the P.P.
cannot be had. The action of the D.C. magt in
appointing the D.S.P. under this section as
P.P. for these cases appears to be objectionable.
~~It is also for consideration~~ Previous reference,

Serial
No.

Sir,

The District Magistrate, Shimoga
no. A. 4. P. 150/31-32 dated 16th June 1932
reporting for information of Govt that he
has appointed the D.S.P. Shimoga as Special
Public Prosecutor to conduct the prosecution
in the recent disturbance cases on the file
of the Special first class Mag^{re} Shimoga
and stating that the D.S.P. has agreed to
this arrangement.

The details of the Criminal cases filed in
connection with the recent Shimoga disturbances, are
not furnished. It is learnt that several reports
have been received making certain allegations
against the D.S.P. Shimoga, relating to the
recent trouble between Hindus and Muslims. These
are noted up in the Confidential Branch. Apart from
that the case being fairly important, it is for
consideration insofar as the D.S.P. will be able
to conduct the prosecution successfully. The Prosecution
may perhaps be entrusted to the Public Prosecutor.
150/32
20/6. MBK

Under sec 492(2) of the Cr. Pr. code,
the Dt Magt may appoint a special P.P. only
in cases in which the services of the P.P.
cannot be had. The action of the Dt Magt in
appointing the D.S.P. under this section as
P.P. for these cases appears to be objectionable.
~~It is also for consideration~~ Previous references

File No.

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

on the Shimoga disturbances & the letter refd to in
the P.V.D. are said to be in the Conf. Branch.
They may be kind^{ly} be put up for refce.

CB)

DMP
21/6/33

MP

(The file containing the
proceedings of the
the Chief Magistrate
at Shimoga
22/6/33)

3) The letter of 20.5.33 refd to in the
P.V.D. is put up separately
(conf.)
The file of papers on the Shimoga trouble
(~~the file of papers on the Shimoga trouble~~) already exists
are put up for refce & kind return

By 22/6/33 Asses^y Law^{er} In addition to the objection noted above,
there is another which requires consideration.

Para 5 of the D. S. P. letter of
9-5-33 shows that the D. S. P. was
asked to pursue the investigation of all
the complaints in connection with these
disturbances. As such his being appointed
as Public Prosecutor to conduct the cases
arising out of such investigation, appears
to conflict with the principle underlying
Clause 4 of sec 495 of the Code of Cr.
Procedure which is as follows:-

"An officer of Police shall
not be permitted to conduct the
prosecution if he has taken any part

'T'

Serial
No.

in the investigation into the offence with respect to which the accused is being prosecuted".

5) The Dt magte would have done well in this case to have sought orders of Govt before appointing the D.S.P. as special P.P. under sec 492(2) of the Cr. P. Code.

Submitted for orders.

Gen Secy)

me
2/6/33

Chief Secy)

me
2/6

~~Chief Secy (with instructions)~~

me
23/6

1st member


b) I quite agree. ~~Point are made~~
The appointment does not commend itself to put

me
24/6

me
24/6/33

me
24/6/33

23 JUNE 1933



CONFIDENTIAL.
No. A.4.P.150/32-33.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Dated, Shimoga 21st June, 1933

Law 169-32-2

FROM

T. V. A. ISVARAN, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

TO

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No: A.4.P.150/32-33 dated the 16th instant intimating the appointment of the District Superintendent of Police, Shimoga as Special Public Prosecutor, I have the honour to state that on a further suggestion of the Inspector General of Police conveyed to me in his letter No: A.C.1908/32 dated 19-6-33, Mr. A. Rangaswami Iyengar, B.A., (Hons) LL.B., Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chief Office will be appointed as Public Prosecutor for the conduct of the Shimoga disturbance cases.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. V. A. Isvaran
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

1) Submitted in continuation of the file submitted yesterday.
The District Magistrate's power of appointment under Sec. 492(2) of the Cr. Pr. Code is restricted only to cases when the P.P. appointed by Govt is absent or there is no P.P. appointed by Govt. In all other cases it is Govt that has to appoint the P.P. under Sec. 492(1), even if such appointment is only with respect to the conduct of certain specified cases.

2) For orders if Mr. A. Rangaswami Iyengar A.S.P. may be appointed as P.P. to conduct the Shimoga disturbance cases.

✓
6622
P

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Law File No.

169

of 1932

[App. F. III-2

Serial
No.

as proposed by the Dt. magr, The J. G. P.
is said to have suggested this appointment.

Gen Secy)

Chief Secy)

23/6/33

9) Sanction of Govt may be
accorded to the J. G. P. recommendation.
The Dt. magr is not competent to
make such ^{an} appointments when there is
Public Prosecutor for the Division.

23/6

23/6

Chief Secy)

1st. member

23/6/33

23/6

10) Please ask whether the P. & S. Imp.
cannot conduct the case. If the
cost of case is too high, then
has the Govt. been consulted

24/6
23/6/33



11) A Draft to the Dt. magr is
herewith put up for approval

Gen Secy)

12) Done & submitted 24/6.

24/6

24/6



6549
6822

6710

Law File No.

169

of 1932

General and Revenue
Secretariat

To,

The Dist. Mag^{te}. Shingor.

no. C. 6527 d. 24.6.23 enquiring whether
the Presenting Inspect^r attached to the
Special 1st class Mag^{te}'s Court cannot conduct
these cases and whether the Sbr. Shingor
has been authorised if he can conduct
them himself in addition to his other work.

(u) Re- submitted with reference to para 12 supra.

ESD
24/6

P.C. to Gail Singh.

26/6

26/6

26/6

From,

The Inspect^r General of Police

no. Ac. 1902/21 dated 16th June 1923 intimating
that Mr. A. Rangarajam Iyer, A.S.C. has
been appointed by the D.C. Shingor to conduct
the Prosecutions in Shingor disturbance cases.

(5) Submitted for perusal with ref^{ce} to
para 10-11 supra.

D.C.'s reply is awaited.

28/6

(16) Please send for copy of the
letter addressed to the D.C.
Shingor in the matter for which
his reply is being awaited -
28/6

1. Off
JSD
20/6

2. Inspect^r submit (10) because
28/6

28/6

appointment of sp^t 6765 to Conduct the /
Shinoga disturbance case. 0

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Law File No.

169

of 1932.

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

To,

The D. G. P.

no. P. 6702 dated 29. 6. 33 forwarding
a copy of the letter addressed to the D. G. Shinoga

From,

The Dist. Mag^{te} Shinoga.

no. A. P. 150/32-33 dated 30th June 1933 stating
that the prosecuting inspection now attached to
the 1st Magistrate's Court is reported to be new
to the prosecution work and that he did
not favour the appointment of the P. B. R.
Shinoga since he felt that his employment
would be just remunerated, and that
he was not able to consult him on the
point as he was away from the Station.

~~submitted for orders with reference to para 10 supra~~

~~100. 1000~~
~~100~~

The D. G. of Police

no. D. C. 1508/32 dated 2nd July 1933 stating
that he has no objection if the P. B. R. Shinoga
can conduct these cases in addition to his
other duties, and that as the cases are
of an important nature, he is of opinion that
Mr. A. R. Zingra A. S. P. may conduct the prosecution
in the Committing Court.

The P. B. R. Shinoga may perhaps be consulted where
he can take up these cases without detriment to his
legitimate work.

Submitted for orders with ref^{ce} to para 10 supra

Subt:

Please see
if a reply to an
letter of 29. 6. 33
has been received
from the D. G. P. If
not ascertain
by phone when
it will be received
me
1/7/33

Subd.

R^g 5202 no. P. S. d. 1. 7. 33.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Submitted with reference to para 10
Supra -

The Dt Mgr says that he does not favour of the appointment of the PPr, ~~for these~~ ^{Shinola} to conduct these cases, since it would mean extra cost. The J. G. P has no objection to entrust the prosecution to the PPr, if he can attend to it in addition to his other work. Since there are a number of cases which may have to go on from day to day, it may not be possible for the PPr to attend to them in addition to the Sessions cases. If the PPr is engaged, it may become necessary to appoint spl. P.P.s for the Sessions cases, thus involving double cost to Govt.

arising out of
the Shinola
disturbances

In these circumstances, the entrusting of the prosecution of the Shinola cases to a separate agency other than the PPr seems to be desirable. As for the prosecuting Inspector himself conducting them, both the Dt Mgr & the J. G. P are of opinion that it is better to entrust the conduct of these important cases to a gazetted officer having good experience & therefore recommend

Serial
No.

A

the appointment of Mr A. Rangaswami
Iyer, A.S.P. as spl P.R. for the
conduct of these cases in the Spl. I Magistrate
Court, Shiroga - This may be approved -
It is however necessary that these cases
must go on from day to day & finished
off early, as otherwise the spl P.R. may
have no work to do during periods of
adjournment -

Submitted for orders

First Member)

RMP
5/7/33

A Rangaswami

6/7

At Today

RMP
6.7.33Def. for ~~recovery~~

←

Sub-2 with a def. R.O. and a covering letter
for approvalRMP
6/7

for perusal before issue

Gen Secy)

RMP
6/7Issue today - RMP
6/7

60 133

File No.

169

of 1932

General and Revenue
Secretariat

To,

Mr. D. M. Shingor

D. S. P.

Comptroller to Govt

S.O. no. 127-29 Law 169-32-8 dated 6.7.33
appointing Mr. A. Rangarajam as
a sp. Mr. to conduct the Shingor
disturbance case.

To,

Mr. D. M. Shingor

no. 130 dated 6.7.33 informing that
it is necessary that these cases must
go on from day to day and be finished
early.

Submitted for perusal after me.

180
10/7

Chief Secy)

180
10/7/33

Rg
17/7/33

180
17.7.33

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Tel. Address
"POLICE"

PHONE No. 298

When replying
please quote
No. C-2-34-33.

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, the 21st July 1933.

DESPATCHED
21. JUL. 1933

FROM

F. A. HAMILTON, Esq., C.I.E.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:- The communal disturbance at Shimoga.

In continuation of my office letter No. C.2.475/32 dated 2-7-1933 stating that all the cases connected with the recent disturbances at Shimoga were charge sheeted, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Special Public Prosecutor, First Class Magistrate's Court, Shimoga, that 8 charge sheets which were placed in all against 61 Mohamedans for offences under Sections, 147, 148, 149, 457, 380, 436, 325 and 324 I.P.C. were clubbed together into one case in C.C.211/32-33 with the permission of the Magistrate and 5 charge sheets against 22 Hindus in all for offences under Sections 304, 326, 114, 148, 149 I.P.C. were clubbed together into one case with the permission of the Magistrate in C.C.215/32-33 as they arose out of the same transaction. So far 50 witnesses have been examined on behalf of the prosecution in C.C.No.211/32 (against Mohamedans) and the case stands adjourned to 25-7-1933 for the examination of the remaining witnesses. The case against the Hindus stands posted to 24-7-1933.

Mr. A. Ranga Rami Iyengar who was appointed as Special Public Prosecutor in G.O.No.P.127-29/Law. 169-32 dated 6-7-1932 has been conducting the cases in the Court.

Further progress in the cases will be duly reported.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Head Quarters Assistant
Inspector General of Police
21/7/33

Submitted for perusal

First Member

No. A..4..P.150/32-33.

65498321
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Dated, Shimoga.....16th June,.....1933.

FROM

ARAN, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

Law 169-32-1

RECEIVED
19 JUNE 1933
To

u
17/6

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Chief Secretary to Government,

SIR,

Bangalore.

In continuation of this Office letter No: A.4.P.150/32-33 dated the 28th May 1933 stating that the recent Shimoga disturbance cases are expected to be chargesheeted very shortly before the Special 1st Class Magistrate, Shimoga, I have the honour to report for the information of Government that I have appointed under Section 492 Cl.2. Criminal Procedure Code, the District Superintendent of Police Shimoga as Special Public Prosecutor to conduct before the Special 1st Class Magistrate the cases connected with the disturbances in the town. The Inspector General of Police who was consulted in the matter has, in his No: A.C.1908/32 dated 13th June 1933, agreed to this arrangement.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
most obedient servant,

J. S. D. W. S.
16/6
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.

Inke
Dor
20/6

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

CONFIDENTIAL.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 24th June 1933

No. 65-37

Lr 169-32-3

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The District Magistrate,
Shimoga.

Sir,

With reference to your letters Nos.A4-P.150/32-33 dated the 16th and 21st June 1933 regarding the appointment of a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct the Shimoga Disturbance cases, I am directed to point out that such an appointment except in cases falling under Section 492(2) of the Criminal Procedure Code, has to be made by Government, and not by you. The appointment of the District Superintendent of Police as Special Public Prosecutor for these cases does not commend itself to Government. As regards the proposal to appoint - Mr.A.Rangaswami Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chief Office, I am to enquire whether the Prosecuting Inspector attached to the Special 1st Class Magistrate's Court cannot and conduct these cases in the said Court;/whether the Public - Prosecutor, Shimoga, has been consulted if he can conduct them himself in addition to his other work.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.P. 24/6

General Secretary to Government.

TSD

SUBJECT

Section LawFile No. 169-32Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to

No Confidential.6537

To

The District Magistrate
Shimoga.

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

193

Date of issue

24-6-33

Signed by

Mr. N. A. J.

List of enclosures

Copied by

7
6/11
amb

Examined by

(vide index file)

Sir,

With reference to your letters Nos. A 4 P 150/32-33 dated the 16th and 21st June re^g the appointment of ^{special} Public Prosecutor to conduct the Shimoga Disturbance Cases, I am directed to point out that such an appointment except in cases falling under Sec. 492(2) of the Criminal Procedure Code, has to be made by Govt., and not by you. The appointment of the District Superintendent of Police as special Public Prosecutor for these cases

does not commend itself to Govt.
 As regards the proposal to appoint
 Mr. A. Rangaswami Iyengar, A.S.P.,
 Chief Office, I am to enquire whether
 the Prosecuting Inspector attached to
 the special 1st class magistrates
 Court - cannot conduct these cases
 in the said Court; ~~if not~~ ^{and} whether
 the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga has
 been consulted if ^{he} can conduct ~~them~~
^{them} ~~cases~~ himself in addition to his
 other work.

I have etc.

my

24/6.

Gen Secy to Govt

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Sl. Address
"POLICE"

PHONE No. 298

When replying
please quote
No.

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, ... 26th June, 1933.

FROM

F. A. HAMILTON, Esq., C.I.E.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to state for the information of Government that Mr. A. Rangaswami Iyengar, B.A. (Hons.) LL.B., Assistant Superintendent of Police attached to Chief Office has been appointed by the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga (in consultation with me) to conduct prosecution in the Shimoga disturbance cases pending with the Special First Class Magistrate, Shimoga as it was considered necessary to depute a responsible and experienced officer for this work.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

Section *Law*File No. *169-32*Serial No. *5*


Diary No. of letter replied to

No. *P 6702 (F)*

To

The D. G. P.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .Date of issue.....*29.6.33*.....Signed by.....*Mr. N. R. J.*.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

with reference to
 Your letter no. A.C. 1500/32 dated 26th June 33
 intimating that Mr. A. Ranga Swami
 - Lingar, A.C.P. has been appointed by
 the D.C. Shimoga to conduct the prosecution
 in the recent Shimoga disturbance
 Cases. I am directed to forward
 herewith for information a copy of
 the letter no. P. 6537 d 24.6.33 addressed
 to the District Magistrate Shimoga in
 the matter and to state that
 his reply on the same is being
 awaited. Your opinion in the matter
 may also be kindly furnished

28/6.

29/6 I have enc
for for sent

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. *P 6702 Law 169-325* Dated, Bangalore, 29th June 1933.

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. A.C. 1908/32, dated 26th June 1933, intimating that Mr. A. Rangaswami Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police has been appointed by the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga to conduct the prosecution in the recent Shimoga Disturbance cases, I am directed to forward herewith for information a copy of the letter No. P. 6537, dated 24th June 1933 addressed to the District Magistrate, Shimoga in the matter and to state that his reply on the same is being awaited.

Your opinion in the matter may also be kindly furnished early

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

MP

TRM.2

General Secretary to Government.

150

NO-P6703
Immediate reply 6.33
The DM, Shimoga

Sir, I am directed to
write reference to ~~the~~ this
Office letter No. 6537 dated
24-6-1933 regarding the
appt of a Spl Public
Prosecutor to conduct
the Shimoga Disturbance
Cases and to request
the favour for
immediate repl.

I have to

Yr Obedt

D H H C
-MOR
27/6/33 JAB
29/6/33

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 29th June 1933.

No. P 6703.

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The District Magistrate,

Shimoga District.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. 6537, dated 24th June 1933, regarding the -- appointment of a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct the Shimoga Disturbance cases and to request the favour of an immediate reply.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


For General Secretary to Government.

TRM.2

Confidential

No. A-4-D.150/32-33.

6763/32
P 8 32
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Dated, Shimoga 30th 1933.

FROM

T. V. A. ISVARAN, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

Law 169-32-6

—To— — — — —

S. N. Appannaiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: P.6537 dated the 24th June 1933 regarding the arrangement for conducting the prosecution of the disturbance cases in the Special First Class Magistrate's Court Shimoga, I have the honour to state that the Prosecuting Inspector now attached to that Court is reported to be new to prosecution work. The disturbance cases are important and require to be handled by a person with some experience in prosecution work. Mr. A. Rangaswamy Iyengar the Assistant Superintendent of Police now proposed to be posted has I understand a long experience of prosecution work.

I did not favour the appointment of the Public Prosecutor attached to this Division for conducting these cases because I felt that his employment on this work would have to be further remunerated. I have not been able to consult him on this point as he has been away at Chickamagalur in connection with the Sessions work there.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. V. A. Isvaran
30/6/33
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.
Shimoga District.

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Tel. Address
"POLICE"

PHONE No. 298

When replying
please quote
No.

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, ... 2nd July, 1933.

FROM

F. A. HAMILTON, Esq., C.I.E.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore.

DESPATCHED
3. JUL. 1933

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:- Appointment of a Prosecutor to conduct the
Prosecution in the recent Shimoga Disturbance
cases pending in the Special First Class
Magistrate's Court, Shimoga.

With reference to your letter No.P.6702/Law 169-32 dated
the 29th June 1933 calling for my opinion on certain matters on the
above subject, I have the honour to state that I have no objection
if the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga can conduct these cases in addition
to his other duties. As the cases are of an important nature, I am
of opinion that the prosecution of the cases in the Court of Special
First Class Magistrate, Shimoga may be conducted by a Gazetted officer
and hence Mr. A. Rangaswamy Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police,
Chief Office may conduct the prosecution in the Committing Court -
(Special First Class Magistrate, Shimoga.)

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:-


Correspondence ending with the letter No. A.4.P.150/32-33, dated 30th June 1933, from the District Magistrate, Shimoga, stating that in view of the importance of the cases, it is necessary to -- appoint a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct the ~~prosecution~~ prosecution of the Shimoga Disturbance cases on the file of the Special First Class Magistrate, Shimoga, and recommending the appointment of Mr. A. Rangaswami Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police in the ^{Office of the} Inspector General of Police office.

2. Correspondence ending with the ~~letter~~ letter No. I.C. 1908/32, dated 2nd July 1933, from the Inspector General of Police, stating that the prosecution of the disturbance cases on the file of the Special First Class Magistrate's Court may be entrusted to Mr. A. Rangaswamy Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police of his office.

Order No. Ref 27-29 Law 169-32-8

Bangalore, dated the 6th July 1933.

Under Section 492 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Government are pleased to appoint Mr. A. Rangaswamy Iyengar, B.A. (Hon) LL.B., Assistant Superintendent of Police attached to the office of the Inspector General of Police as special Public Prosecutor to conduct the cases arising out of the recent disturbances at Shimoga and now on the file of the Special First Class Magistrate, Shimoga.



For General Secretary to Government.

To

The District Magistrate, Shimoga District.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Law

File No. 169-32

Serial No. 8.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193

} Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 6.7.1933

Read—

Signed by Mr. M.R. J.

May this be—

- (i) printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,
English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

Correspondence ending with
the letter no. H.P. 150/32-33 dated
30th June 1933 from the District
Magistrate Shimoga stating the
in view of the importance
of the cases
it is necessary to appoint
a special prosecutor
Order thereon. No. a special P.P.
prosecution work and recommend
to appoint Mr. B.A. J.

Mr. B.A. J. is
A.S.P. Chief officer

Special P.P. to conduct the
prosecution of the recent Shimoga
disturbance cases on the file of

the Spl. Magistrate Shimoga.

A recommendation for the appointment of Mr. A.S.P. J. in the P.P. Office.

Correspondence ending with
the letter no. D.C. 1518/32 dated
2nd July 1932. From the D. S. of
Police - ~~stating that~~
~~suggesting that the~~
~~that the~~ prosecution of
the disturbance cases on the plea
of the Spl I class Magistrate's
Court may be entrusted to
Mr. A. Rangswami Zeng
of his
A.S.P. Chief office

Order: - No. P. 127-29.
Under sec 492 of the Code of Cr.
Procedure, just as pleased to
appoint - Mr. A. Rangswami-
Zeng, B.A. (Hons), L.L.B.,
attached to the office of the P. S. of Police
A.S.P. Chief office as Special

Mr. to conduct the ~~cases~~ arising out of the
recent disturbances at Shimoga and now on
the file of the Special
I class Magistrate, Shimoga -

1SD
6/7
Mhe
6/7
Genl Secy

Copied by

Examined by

The D.M. Shimoga
D.S.P.
Comptroller to Govt.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 6th July 1933

No. P-130. *Letter 169-29.*

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The District Magistrate,
Shimoga.

Sir,

With reference to the Government order No. P.127-29.
dated 6th July 1933 sanctioning the appointment of Mr. A.
Rangaswamy Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police as
special Public Prosecutor to conduct the prosecution of
the recent Shimoga Disturbance Cases, I am directed to
state that Government desire that it is necessary that
these cases must go on from day to day and be finished
early, as otherwise the special Public Prosecutor may be
without work during the periods of adjournment.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



In General Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Conf.

Section Law
File No. 16932
Serial No. 9.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. P. 30.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

()

the 193 .

To

The D.M. Shingga

Sir

With reference to
Continuation
do for exchange

~~with a copy of the S.O.~~

Date of issue..... 6. 7. 33.

dated

Signed by..... Mr. M. R. J.

receiving sanctioning the appointment
of Mr. A. Rangarany Zenger, B.A.
a Spcl. Pbr. to conduct the
prosecution of the recent Shingga
disturbance Case, I am directed
to state that your desire that
it is necessary that these Cases
must go on from day to day
and finished off early, as otherwise
the Special Pbr may be
fully engaged during the period
of Shingga disturbance.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Thangach

my 6/8/33

I am therefore to
request you to give necessary
instructions in the matter and
report the action taken for
information of Govt.

Progress of these cases
may however be kindly intimated
for information of Govt from
time to time.

I have etc
for Genl Secy

180
6/7

Copy to me I. &